

On constant $U_q(sl_2)$ -invariant R -matrices

A. G. BYTSKO

Steklov Mathematics Institute
Fontanka 27, 191023, St.-Petersburg, Russia

Abstract

The spectral resolution of a $U_q(sl_2)$ -invariant solution R of the constant Yang–Baxter equation in the braid group form is considered. It is shown that, if the two highest coefficients in this resolution are not equal, then R is either the Drinfeld R -matrix or its inverse.

§1. Introduction

Recall that the algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ is generated by the generators X_+ , X_- , q^H , q^{-H} satisfying the relations [KR2]

$$[X^+, X^-] = \frac{q^{2H} - q^{-2H}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad q^H X^\pm = q^{\pm 1} X^\pm q^H, \quad q^{\pm H} q^{\mp H} = 1. \quad (1)$$

The homomorphism Δ which is defined on the generators as follows

$$\Delta(X^\pm) = X^\pm \otimes q^{-H} + q^H \otimes X^\pm, \quad \Delta(q^{\pm H}) = q^{\pm H} \otimes q^{\pm H}, \quad (2)$$

turns $U_q(sl_2)$ into a bialgebra (moreover, a Hopf algebra [Sk]).

We will consider the standard finite dimensional representation π_s of the algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ in which the generators act on the basis vectors ω_k of a module V_s ($\dim V_s = (2s+1)$, $2s \in \mathbb{N}$) as follows

$$\pi_s(X^\pm) \omega_k = \sqrt{[s \mp k][s \pm k + 1]} \omega_{k \pm 1}, \quad \pi_s(q^{\pm H}) \omega_k = q^{\pm k} \omega_k, \quad (3)$$

where $[t] \equiv (q^t - q^{-t})/(q - q^{-1})$ and $k = -s, -s+1, \dots, s$.

The universal R -matrices for the algebra (1)–(2) are given by [D1]

$$R^\pm = q^{\pm H \otimes H} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)}}{\prod_{k=1}^n [k]_q} (\pm(q - q^{-1})X^\mp \otimes X^\pm)^n q^{\pm H \otimes H}. \quad (4)$$

Let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the operator which permutes the tensor components in $U_q(sl_2)^{\otimes 2}$. Then the operator $R \equiv \mathbb{P} R^+ = (R^-)^{-1} \mathbb{P}$ satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation in the braid group form:

$$R_{12} R_{23} R_{12} = R_{23} R_{12} R_{23}. \quad (5)$$

The spectral resolution of R in the representation π_s is given by [KR1]

$$R \equiv \pi_s^{\otimes 2}(R) = \sum_{k=0}^{2s} \xi_k P^{2s-k}, \quad (6)$$

where P^j stands for the projector onto the irreducible submodule V_j in $V_s^{\otimes 2} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{2s} V_j$. Here and below we use the following notations

$$\xi_k \equiv (-1)^k q^{\rho(2s-k)-2\rho(s)}, \quad \rho(t) \equiv t(t+1). \quad (7)$$

Consider an $U_q(sl_2)$ -invariant solution R' of the Yang–Baxter equation (5). Its spectral resolution in the representation π_s is given by

$$R' \equiv \pi_s^{\otimes 2}(R') = \sum_{k=0}^{2s} r_k P^{2s-k}, \quad (8)$$

where $r_0 \neq 0$ by Lemma 6 [B2], which applies to the case $q \neq 1$ as well. We will prove the following statement.

Proposition 1 *If the spectral resolution (8) has $r_1 \neq r_0$, then R' coincides with either R or with R^{-1} up to normalization.*

This statement is a q -analogue of the second part of Proposition 1 in [B2], where sl_2 -invariant solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation were considered. Note that the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ is degenerate in the sense that both operators R and R^{-1} turn into the permutation operator $\mathbb{P}$.

§2. Reduction on the subspace $W_n^{(s)}$

Let us recall the method of analyzing $U_q(sl_2)$ -invariant solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation developed in [B1]. Let $[t]$ denote the entire part of t . The subspace $W_n^{(s)} \subset V_s^{\otimes 3}$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, [3s]$ is defined as a span of the highest weight vectors of weight $(3s - n)$, that is

$$W_n^{(s)} = \{ \psi \in V_s^{\otimes 3} \mid X_{123}^+ \psi = 0, \quad q^{H_{123}} \psi = q^{3s-n} \psi \}. \quad (9)$$

Here and below for $O \in U_q(sl_2)$ we use the notation: $O_{123} = \pi_s^{\otimes 3}((\Delta \otimes id)\Delta(O))$.

Since $[X_{123}^\pm, R_{12}] = [X_{123}^\pm, R_{23}] = 0$, then $W_n^{(s)}$ is an invariant subspace for R_{12} and R_{23} , and so we can consider reductions of these operators onto $W_n^{(s)}$. We can choose a basis of $W_n^{(s)}$ in which the operator $R_{12}|_{W_n^{(s)}}$ is represented by a diagonal matrix $D_0^{(n)}$ of the following form:

$$(D_0^{(n)})_{kk'} = \delta_{kk'} \xi_k, \quad (10)$$

here $0 \leq k \leq n$ for $0 \leq n \leq 2s$ and $(n - 2s) \leq k \leq (4s - n)$ for $2s \leq n \leq [3s]$.

In the same basis, the operator $R_{23}|_{W_n^{(s)}}$ is represented by the following matrix

$$\hat{D}_0^{(n)} = A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)}, \quad (11)$$

where $A^{(s,n)}$ is a matrix with the following properties [B1]: it is symmetric, orthogonal, equal to its inverse, and self-dual in q :

$$A^{(s,n)} = (A^{(s,n)})^t = (A^{(s,n)})^{-1}, \quad A_q^{(s,n)} = A_{q^{-1}}^{(s,n)}. \quad (12)$$

Its entries are expressed in terms of the 6- j symbols of the algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ as follows:

$$A_{kk'}^{(s,n)} = (-1)^{2s-n} \sqrt{[4s - 2k + 1]_q [4s - 2k' + 1]_q} \left\{ \begin{matrix} s & s & 2s - k \\ s & 3s - n & 2s - k' \end{matrix} \right\}_q. \quad (13)$$

The statement that the Yang–Baxter equation (5) holds when it is reduced onto the subspace $W_n^{(s)}$ is equivalent to the following equality

$$(D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)})^3 = (A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)})^3. \quad (14)$$

Actually, however, a stronger statement holds: the r.h.s. and the l.h.s. of (14) are equal up to a multiplicative constant to the identity operator on $W_n^{(s)}$. This follows from the following statement (which is a q -analogue of Lemma 3 in [B2]):

Lemma 1 *For all $n = 0, \dots, \lfloor 3s \rfloor$, the following relation holds:*

$$A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} = \theta_n (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1}, \quad (15)$$

where $\theta_n \equiv (-1)^n q^{\rho(3s-n)-3\rho(s)}$.

The proof of this and other lemmas is given in the Appendix.

The statement of Lemma 1 can be written in the following form:

$$(R_{12} R_{23} R_{12})|_{W_n^{(s)}} = (R_{23} R_{12} R_{23})|_{W_n^{(s)}} = \theta_n A^{(s,n)}. \quad (16)$$

For $q = 1$ this relation turns into $(\mathbb{P}_{13})|_{W_n^{(s)}} = (-1)^n A^{(s,n)}$.

From (16) and (12) it follows that

$$((R_{12} R_{23})^3)|_{W_n^{(s)}} = ((R_{23} R_{12})^3)|_{W_n^{(s)}} = q^{2\rho(3s-n)-6\rho(s)}. \quad (17)$$

Let us note that

$$(R_{12} R_{23} R_{12})^2 = (R_{23} R_{12} R_{23})^2 = (R_{12} R_{23})^3 = (R_{23} R_{12})^3 \quad (18)$$

$$= \pi_s^{\otimes 3} \left((R_{12}^- R_{13}^- R_{23}^-)^{-1} (R_{12}^+ R_{13}^+ R_{23}^+) \right) = \pi_s^{\otimes 3} (\chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 \Delta^{(2)}(\chi^{-1})), \quad (19)$$

where the element χ is constructed in the following way: write the R -matrix (4) as $R^+ = \sum_a r_a^{(1)} \otimes r_a^{(2)}$, and let $\mathcal{S}$ stand for the antipode operation, then $\chi = q^{2H} (\sum_a \mathcal{S}(r_a^{(2)}) r_a^{(1)})$. It is known [D2] that the element χ is central, $\pi_s(\chi) = q^{-2\rho(s)}$, and $\chi_1 \chi_2 \Delta(\chi^{-1}) = (R^-)^{-1} R^+$. The last relation allows us to derive the last equality in (19) (and its generalization for $\Delta^{(N)}(\chi^{-1})$, see the proof of Lemma 1 in [B3]). Thus, relation (16) can be regarded as the definition of a certain square root of the operator given by the r.h.s. of (19).

§3. Yang–Baxter equation on $W_n^{(s)}$

We will prove Proposition 1 using the following statement (a q -analogue of Lemma 4 in [B2]).

Lemma 2 *Let $0 \leq \bar{m} \leq n \leq 2s$, where $\bar{m} \equiv (2s - m)$. The reductions of the operators P_{12}^m , P_{23}^m , and $R_{12}^{\pm 1}$, $R_{23}^{\pm 1}$ on $W_n^{(s)}$ satisfy the following relations*

$$R_l R_{l'} R_l = R_{l'} R_l R_{l'}, \quad P_l^m P_{l'}^m P_l^m = \eta_{n,\bar{m}}^2 P_l^m, \quad (20)$$

$$P_l^m R_{l'}^{\pm 1} P_l^m = (\theta_n \xi_{\bar{m}}^{-2})^{\pm 1} \eta_{n,\bar{m}} P_l^m, \quad R_l^{\pm 1} P_{l'}^m R_l^{\pm 1} = (\theta_n \xi_{\bar{m}}^{-1})^{\pm 2} R_{l'}^{\mp 1} P_l^m R_{l'}^{\mp 1}, \quad (21)$$

$$P_l^m P_{l'}^m R_l^{\pm 1} = (\theta_n \xi_{\bar{m}}^{-1})^{\pm 1} \eta_{n,\bar{m}} P_l^m R_{l'}^{\mp 1}, \quad R_l^{\pm 1} P_{l'}^m P_l^m = (\theta_n \xi_{\bar{m}}^{-1})^{\pm 1} \eta_{n,\bar{m}} R_{l'}^{\mp 1} P_l^m, \quad (22)$$

where $l = \{12\}$, $l' = \{23\}$ or $l = \{23\}$, $l' = \{12\}$, and $\eta_{n,\bar{m}} = A_{\bar{m},\bar{m}}^{(s,n)}$.

Let us remark that not all relations in Lemma 2 are independent. For instance, the second relation in (21) follows from (22); the first relation in (21) and the second relation in (20) can be derived from each other with the help of (22).

Let us remark also that, for $q=1$, the operators $R^{\pm 1}$ coincide with the permutation operator $\mathbb{P}$, and relations (20)–(22) become the relations of the Brauer algebra [Br] (taking into account the additional relation $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{E}$, where $\mathbb{E}$ is the identity operator). For $q \neq 1$, the reductions of the operators $R^{\pm 1}$ onto $W_1^{(s)}$ can be represented as linear combinations of $\mathbb{P}^m$ and the identity operator $\mathbb{E}$. As a consequence, relations (20)–(22) for $n=1$ can be derived from the second relation in (20), which is the defining relation for the Temperley–Lieb algebra [TL]. For $n \geq 2$, relations (20)–(22) are the relations that hold in the Birman–Wenzl–Murakami algebra [BW, Mu]. However, in this algebra an additional relation must also hold, which in our case holds only for $n=2$ (the operator R^{-1} being reduced onto $W_2^{(s)}$ can be represented as a linear combination of the operators R , $\mathbb{P}^m$, and $\mathbb{E}$).

Returning to consideration of the spectral resolution (8), let us note that without a loss of generality we can set $r_0 = \xi_0$. Then R' can be represented in the following form:

$$R' = R + g P^{2s-n} + \dots, \quad (23)$$

where $n \geq 1$ and $\dots$ stands for the sum involving projectors of ranks smaller than the rank of P^{2s-n} .

Substitute the ansatz (23) in the Yang–Baxter equation and consider its reduction onto $W_n^{(s)}$ for $n \leq 2s$. With the help of relations of Lemma 2, it can be verified that the Yang–Baxter equation for $R'|_{W_n^{(s)}}$ is equivalent to the following matrix equation

$$g J + (\theta_n \xi_n^{-2} \eta_{n,n} g^2 + \eta_{n,n}^2 g^3) G + (\theta_n \xi_n^{-1} \eta_{n,n} g^2) H = 0, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G &= (P_{12}^{2s-n} - P_{23}^{2s-n})|_{W_n^{(s)}} = \pi^{(n)} - A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(n)} A^{(s,n)}, \\ J &= (R_{12} P_{23}^{2s-n} R_{12} - R_{23} P_{12}^{2s-n} R_{23})|_{W_n^{(s)}} \\ &= D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} - \theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1}, \\ H &= (P_{12}^{2s-n} R_{23}^{-1} + R_{23}^{-1} P_{12}^{2s-n} - P_{23}^{2s-n} R_{12}^{-1} - R_{12}^{-1} P_{23}^{2s-n})|_{W_n^{(s)}} \\ &= \theta_n^{-1} \xi_n (\pi^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} + D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(n)}) \\ &\quad - A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} - (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(n)} A^{(s,n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\pi^{(n)}$ is a matrix such that $(\pi^{(n)})_{kk'} = \delta_{kn} \delta_{k'n}$.

Lemma 3 *i) For $n=1$, the following relations hold:*

$$J = (\theta_1^2 \xi_0^{-2} \xi_1^{-2} - \xi_0^2) G = (q^{4s(s-1)} - q^{4s^2}) G, \quad H = 2\xi_0^{-1} G = 2q^{-2s^2} G. \quad (25)$$

ii) For $n=2$, the matrices J and G are linearly independent, and the following relation holds:

$$\xi_0 \xi_1 H = (\xi_0 + \xi_1) G + (\xi_0 + \xi_1)^{-1} J. \quad (26)$$

iii) For $n \geq 3$, the matrices J , G , H are linearly independent, and $J \neq 0$.

Substituting relations (25) in (24), we infer that, for $n=1$, the coefficient g must be a root of the following equation:

$$\eta_{1,1}^2 g^3 + \eta_{1,1} \theta_1 \xi_1^{-1} (\xi_1^{-1} + 2\xi_0^{-1}) g^2 + (\theta_1^2 \xi_0^{-2} \xi_1^{-2} - \xi_0^2) g = 0.$$

Hence, taking into account that $\eta_{1,1} = -(q^{2s} + q^{-2s})^{-1}$, we find that, for $n=1$, the coefficient g can take one of the following values: $g=0$, $g=q^{2s(s-2)}(1-q^{8s})$, $g=q^{2s(s-2)}(1+q^{4s})$. In the first and second cases, the spectral resolution of R' coincides in the two highest orders with that of R and $q^{4s^2}R^{-1}$, respectively. In the third case, we have $r_1 = r_0$.

For $n=2$, substitute relations (26) in (24) and eliminate H . It is easy to check that the resulting coefficients at J and G vanish if either $g=0$ or

$$\eta_{1,1} g = -\theta_2 \xi_0^{-1} \xi_1^{-1} \xi_2^{-1} (\xi_0 \xi_1 \xi_2^{-1} + \xi_0 + \xi_1) = -\theta_2^{-1} \xi_0 \xi_1 \xi_2 (\xi_0 + \xi_1).$$

However, the last equality cannot hold because $\xi_0^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 = \theta_2^2$ (see (34)).

For $n \geq 3$, the coefficient at J in (24) vanishes only if $g=0$. Thus, the coefficient g in (23) must be zero if $n \geq 2$. Therefore, if R' coincides with R in the two highest orders, then $R' = R$. An analogous statement can be established if we consider the ansatz (23) with R being replaced by R^{-1} . Thus, Proposition 1 is proven.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks P. Kulish for useful remarks. This work was supported by the RFBR grants 08-01-00638, 09-01-12150, 09-01-93108.

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 1.

The $6-j$ symbols of the algebra $U_q(sl_2)$ satisfy the following q -analogue of the Racah identity [KR1, No]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p \left((-1)^p [2p+1]_q \left\{ \begin{matrix} r_1 & r_3 & l \\ r_2 & r_4 & p \end{matrix} \right\}_q q^{\rho(p)-\rho(r_1)-\rho(r_4)} \left\{ \begin{matrix} r_1 & r_2 & l' \\ r_3 & r_4 & p \end{matrix} \right\}_q \right) \\ = (-1)^{l+l'} q^{\rho(r_2)-\rho(l)} \left\{ \begin{matrix} r_3 & r_1 & l \\ r_2 & r_4 & l' \end{matrix} \right\}_q q^{\rho(r_3)-\rho(l')}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

(Note that the identity remains true if we set $\rho(t) = -t(t+1)$, since the $6-j$ symbols are self-dual with respect to the replacement $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$).

Consider the matrix entry (kk') of equality (15). Using formula (10) and taking into account that $A^{(s,n)}$ is a symmetric matrix, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m (-1)^m A_{km}^{(s,n)} q^{\rho(2s-m)-2\rho(s)} A_{k'm}^{(s,n)} \\ = (-1)^{n+k+k'} q^{\rho(3s-n)+\rho(s)-\rho(2s-k)-\rho(2s-k')} A_{kk'}^{(s,n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Now, taking into account formula (13), it is easy to see that relation (28) follows from the identity (27) if we set $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = s$, $r_4 = 3s-n$, $l = 2s-k$, $l' = 2s-k'$, $p = 2s-m$.

Proof of Lemma 2.

We will prove those relations of Lemma 2 that contain R^{+1} on the l.h.s. Their counterparts with R^{-1} on the l.h.s. can be proven similarly.

The second relation in (20):

$$\pi^{(\overline{m})} \hat{\pi}^{(\overline{m})} \pi^{(\overline{m})} = \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} = (A_{\overline{m}\overline{m}}^{(s,n)})^2 \pi^{(\overline{m})}.$$

Here and below we denote $\hat{\pi}^{(\overline{m})} \equiv A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)}$.

Relations (21):

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{(\overline{m})} \hat{D}_0^{(n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} &\stackrel{(11)}{=} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} \stackrel{(15)}{=} \theta_n \pi^{(\overline{m})} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} \pi^{(\overline{m})} \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{=} \theta_n \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-2} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} = \theta_n \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-2} A_{\overline{m}\overline{m}}^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})}, \\ D_0^{(n)} \hat{\pi}^{(\overline{m})} D_0^{(n)} &= D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} \stackrel{(10)}{=} \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-2} D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} D_0^{(n)} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} \theta_n^2 \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-2} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} \stackrel{(11)}{=} \theta_n^2 \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-2} (\hat{D}_0^{(n)})^{-1} \pi^{(\overline{m})} (\hat{D}_0^{(n)})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The first relation in (22) (the second can be proven similarly):

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{(\overline{m})} \hat{\pi}^{(\overline{m})} D_0^{(n)} &= \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} = A_{\overline{m}\overline{m}}^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} A^{(s,n)} D_0^{(n)} (A^{(s,n)})^2 \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} \theta_n A_{\overline{m}\overline{m}}^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} (D_0^{(n)})^{-1} A^{(s,n)} \stackrel{(10)}{=} \theta_n \xi_{\overline{m}}^{-1} A_{\overline{m}\overline{m}}^{(s,n)} \pi^{(\overline{m})} (\hat{D}_0^{(n)})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.

For $n=1$, the matrices G , H , J are of the size 2×2 and relations (25) can be verified straightforwardly using the explicit form of the matrix $A^{(s,1)}$ (see eq. (73) in [B1]).

In order to examine the case $n \geq 2$, let us write down explicitly the matrix entries of G , H , and J :

$$G_{kk'} = \delta_{kn} \delta_{k'n} - A_{nk}^{(s,n)} A_{nk'}^{(s,n)}, \quad (29)$$

$$H_{kk'} = \theta_n^{-1} \xi_n (\delta_{kn} \xi_{k'} A_{nk'}^{(s,n)} + \delta_{k'n} \xi_k A_{nk}^{(s,n)}) - (\xi_k^{-1} + \xi_{k'}^{-1}) A_{nk}^{(s,n)} A_{nk'}^{(s,n)}, \quad (30)$$

$$J_{kk'} = (\xi_k \xi_{k'} - \theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \xi_k^{-1} \xi_{k'}^{-1}) A_{nk}^{(s,n)} A_{nk'}^{(s,n)}. \quad (31)$$

Recall that $k, k' = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

Considering (31) for $k=0$ and $k'=0, 1$, it is easy to infer that $J \neq 0$ (since $\xi_0^2 \neq \xi_1^2$).

Assume that the following relation holds

$$\alpha G + \beta J - \gamma H = 0, \quad (32)$$

where $\alpha\beta\gamma \neq 0$. Using formulae (29)–(31), write down the matrix entries of (32) for $(k, k') = (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)$ dividing them by $A_{nk}^{(s,n)} A_{nk'}^{(s,n)}$ (note that $A_{nk}^{(s,n)} \neq 0$ for all k , see eq. (97) in [B1]):

$$\begin{aligned} -\alpha + (\xi_0^2 - \theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \xi_0^{-2}) \beta + 2\xi_0^{-1} \gamma &= 0, \\ -\alpha + (\xi_0 \xi_1 - \theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \xi_0^{-1} \xi_1^{-1}) \beta + (\xi_0^{-1} + \xi_1^{-1}) \gamma &= 0, \\ -\alpha + (\xi_1^2 - \theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \xi_1^{-2}) \beta + 2\xi_1^{-1} \gamma &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The determinant of this system of equations is $d = (\xi_0^{-1} - \xi_1^{-1})^3 (\theta_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} - \xi_0^2 \xi_1^2)$. Since $\xi_0 \neq \xi_1$ then the equality $d=0$ can be satisfied only if

$$\theta_n^2 = \xi_0^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_n^2, \quad (34)$$

which is equivalent to the following condition: $\rho(3s-n) + 3\rho(s) - \rho(2s) - \rho(2s-1) - \rho(2s-n) = 2s(2-n) = 0$. Thus, relation (32) cannot hold for $n \geq 3$.

For $n = 2$, a solution of the system (33) is given by: $\alpha = \beta^{-1} = \xi_0 + \xi_1$, $\gamma = \xi_0 \xi_1$. A direct check, using the explicit form of the matrix $A^{(s,2)}$ (see eq. (74) in [B1]), shows that relation (32) with such coefficients holds indeed. Since system (33) has no solution for $\gamma = 0$, we conclude that G and J are linearly independent.

References

- [BW] J.S. Birman, H. Wenzl: *Braids, link polynomials and a new algebra*. — Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **313** (1989), 249–273.
- [Br] R. Brauer: *On algebras which are connected with the semisimple continuous groups*. — Ann. of Math. (2) **38** (1937), 857–872.
- [B1] A.G. Bytsko: *On higher spin $U_q(sl_2)$ -invariant R -matrices*. — St. Petersburg Math. J. **17** (2006), 393–408.
- [B2] A.G. Bytsko: *On an ansatz for sl_2 -invariant R -matrices*. — J. Math. Sci. **143** (2007), 2754–2764.
- [B3] A.G. Bytsko: *Non-Hermitian spin chains with inhomogeneous coupling*. — arXiv:0911.4476.
- [D1] V.G. Drinfeld: *Quantum groups*. — J. Sov. Math. **41** (1988), 898–915.
- [D2] V.G. Drinfeld: *Almost cocommutative Hopf algebras*. — Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990), 321–342.
- [KR1] A.N. Kirillov, N.Yu. Reshetikhin: *Representations of the algebra $U_q(sl(2))$, q -orthogonal polynomials and invariants of links*. — In: Adv. Series in Math. Phys., v.7, pp. 285–339 (World Scientific, 1989).
- [KR2] P.P. Kulish, N.Yu. Reshetikhin: *Quantum linear problem for the Sine-Gordon equation and higher representation*. — J. Sov. Math. **23** (1983), 2435–2441.
- [Mu] J. Murakami: *The Kauffman polynomial of links and representation theory*. — Osaka J. of Math. **24** (1987), 745–758.
- [No] M. Nomura: *Yang-Baxter relation in terms of n - j symbols of $su_q(2)$ algebra*. — J. Phys. Soc. Jap. **58** (1989), 2694–2704.
- [Sk] E.K. Sklyanin: *On an algebra generated by quadratic relations*. — Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **40** (1985), no.2, 214 (in Russian).
- [TL] H.N.V. Temperley, E.H. Lieb: *Relations between the percolation and colouring problem and other graph-theoretical problems associated with regular planar lattices: some exact results for the percolation problem*. — Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **322** (1971), 251–280.